

Appendix 3: Summary of representations opposed to proposed Permit Charges	
Finance	
This is a revenue generation measure or tax levy by the Council	132
There is no commitment as to where this money will be spent or publically accounted for	10
Road tax, income tax, council rent/ tax, permits, parking charges & pcn revenue should fund these schemes.	172
A nominal or lower charge for first permits would be more appropriate and possibly acceptable	11
Charge for Temporary Resident's permit is too high	1
There is no indication that the charge for permits will not increase or charges will increase	23
Total number of respondents making representations in relation to Finance	285
Fairness	
Unaffordable and / or unfairly impacts on lower income households (without off-road parking or only one vehicle)	139
Discrimination for some residents have to pay for parking when other residents or non-residents do not.	47
It is not right to charge residents to legally park outside their own property on the public highway	127
Total number of respondents making representations in relation to Fairness	260
Planning	
The Council have caused the parking problems through allowing HMOs/developments without adequate parking	34
The Council should make UoS provide parking for staff and students and/or pay for schemes	39
The Council should make SGH provide parking for staff and students and/or pay for schemes	18
Total number of respondents making representations in relation to Planning	85
Permit Parking	
The permit restrictions/service or proposals are not providing enough benefit to residents	115
Permit or other restrictions are not being effectively enforced	71
Should not be charging without a parking space or providing enough parking for residents (and visitors)	64
Permit charges will encourage residents to remove from gardens and replace with off-road parking	15
Displace parking to no waiting restrictions or to other unrestricted roads or parking areas	13
Stadium scheme should not be excluded	1
Need to be extended or amended to protect residents from non-resident or commercial parking (day, evening, week-end)	55
Never asked for, opposed or should now consult over removal of restrictions	52
Will depress property prices	4
Total number of respondents making representations in relation to Permit Parking	252
Public Transport & Other Benefits	
Public transport or cycling inadequate and / or too costly as an alternative to owning a car	38
Do not improve public transport, road safety, congestion and carbon emissions or reduce obstructive parking	46
Total number of respondents making representations in relation to public transport or other scheme benefits	78
Legal	
No evidence or insufficient case or questioning the legality of proposed charges	60
Letter was not sent with to a named addressee or on a headed envelope or in time or not received	9
Email address for responses was wrong	1
Should not have consulted as it will be implemented anyway	1
Should not be using the internet for communicating information	1
Should be using the internet for communicating information and allowing wider consultation	1
Not included in manifesto of Labour Party	2
Should not have been sent out during peak holiday period or consultation period needs to be extended	11
Did not engage community groups or hold public meetings or consult effectively	1
Should be decided by Cabinet not Officers in a public meeting	4
Total number of respondents making representations in relation to Legality	78